

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tr mark Office Address: COMMISSIC. 4ER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				TATES OF	-						
	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST	NAMED A	PLICANT			ATT	Y, DOCKET NO.	
	08/898.853	07/25/	97	YAMAGISH:			н	H 045980			
				ł					EXAMINER		
				QM11/0223							
	SUGHRUE MI 2100 PENNS							-MARA	ONIT CI	PAPER NUM	BER
	WASHINGTON		7-3202			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		:			10
								3711	I ED.		
								DAIEMAI		2/23/99	
	•										
	This is a communication fro COMMISSIONER OF PATE			our application.							
			OF	FICE ACTIO	N SU	MMARY	1				
~				1	11	199	9				
X	Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on		Jan.	7	///		•			
X	This action is FINAL.										
	Since this application is accordance with the pra-						cution a	s to the me	rits is cic	osed in	
A st	nortened statutory period	for response to	this action	is set to expire	, -	-3		month(s)), or thirty	davs.	
whic	chever is longer, from the application to become ab	mailing date of t	his commi	unication. Failu	ure to re	spond w	ithin the p	period for res	ponse wi	ill cause	
	6(a).	andoned. (35 U.	.S.C. 9 13	o). Extensions	oi ume	may be o	oblained	unaer the pro	ovisions (37 CFH	
Dis	position of Claims										
7	Claim(s)	1-	10	-				:- (- 4L P 4	•
M	Of the above, claim(s) _		<u>,</u>				· · · · ·			n the applicat m considerat	
	Claim(s)									are allowed.	
	Claim(s)							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		are rejected.	
	Claim(s) Claim(s)						are subje	ct to restriction		objected to. ction requirem	nent.
	lication Papers						,			•	
	See the attached Notice	of Draftsperson's	Patent D	rawing Review	, PTO-9	48.					
=	The drawing(s) filed on _				i	s/are obje		y the Exami	•		
_	The proposed drawing on The specification is object the specification is object.	•		lan. 4) 1	799			_is 🔲 appr	oved 🔀	disapprove	d.
	The oath or declaration is			ner.							
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19									
	Acknowledgment is mad		reian nria	rity under 35 LI	S C 8	110(a)./d	١				
	All Some* 🗌 I										
_		None of the Ci	EHIIFIEU	copies of the p	oriority o	ocument	s nave b	een			
İ	received.	N- (0-1 0	- 4 - 40 - 1 - 1								
	received in Applicati received in this nation				al Burea	au (PCT f	Rule 17.2	 (a)).			
*((-)).			
	Certified copies not receive						·				
Ш	Acknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for de	omestic pr	iority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(e).					
Atta	chment(s)										
	Notice of Reference Cite	d, PTO-892									
<u> </u>	Information Disclosure S	tatement(s), PTO	-1449, Pa	per No(s)							
	Interview Summary, PTO					_					
	Notice of Draftperson's P		eview, PT(D-948							
σ.		An-linetine DTC									

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Application/Control Number: 08/898853

Art Unit: 3711

The proposed drawing amendments filed Oct. 28, 1998 are not approved, but only because they are incomplete by failing to illustrate every critical feature claimed. For example, in claim 5, the surrounding layer hardness of D45 is not indicated in said proposed drawing amendment.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or © as being anticipated by Higuchi et al (5,702,311).

The reference describes a golf ball including four components, having the following parameters:

core element 1- (a) hardness is 3.0-6.0mm

under a load of 100kg.

- (b) diameter 25-35mm.
- © material is polybutadiene
- core element 2- (a) hardness is 55-75 D
 - (b) thickness is 2.5mm., in example 5.
- core element 2b- (a) hardness is 30-55 D
 - (b) hardness is 2.0mm, in example 5.
- core element 3- (a) hardness is 50-70 D
 - (b) thickness is 2.0mm, in example 5.

Expressed in term of distortion, the overall hardness of said four components is 2.5 to 4.0mm, which means the ball is harder than the core element 1, which itself has a hardness of 3.0

Application/Control Number: 08/898853 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

to 6.0mm. With the reference disclosure thus summarized, the claims clearly red on the reference, except for claim 9 which recites an inner core element, (such as the reference element 1) which is harder than a surrounding layer, such as the core element 2a, of the reference. Regarding which claim 9, the examiner remains of the opinion that the reference core element 1 inherently is softer than the surrounding core element 2a, as in effect is recited in applicants' claim 9, last two lines. Also, the reference element 2b inherently is as much a cover element as is applicants' layer 15, as is evident simply from a comparison of the drawings.

Applicant's arguments filed Jan. 4, 1999 and Oct. 28, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Since the remarks filed Jan. 4, 1999 and Oct. 28 1998 do not compare the specific parameters recited in applicants' claims with those disclosed by the reference, such remarks are not persuasive. It is noted in the remarks filed Oct. 28, 1998 that applicants appear to consider only the claims of the reference, rather than the entire disclosure of the reference, which includes example 5, obviously. The examiner fails to see h ow Higuchi et al can be over come by applicants foreign priority document which is later than the reference foreign priority date.

If the prosecution of this case is continued, applicants are required to rewrite all the claims so that they are all at one location in the file.

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 08/898853

Art Unit: 3711

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to George J. Marlo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2094. The examiner

can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:30 a.m. to 7:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jeanette Chapman, can be reached on (703) 308-2217. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3579.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Marlo/DMM

February 17, 1999

Page 4

3711